

Exploring The Governance Networks Towards The Agroecological Transition: Evidence From 15 European Case Studies

Oriana Gava, Francesco Vanni, Andrea Povellato

CREA – Centro Politiche e Bioeconomia



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement N° 773901.



UNISECO – THE CHALLENGE

UNderstanding and Improving the Sustainability of agroECOlogical farming systems in the EU (H2020)

- Current challenges of agricultural systems:
 - Increasing the sustainability of food production
 - Improving **nutritiona**l aspects
 - Protecting **climate** and the environment
 - Keeping economic viability
- UNISECO: How to produce public goods whilst having viable production of private goods and securing economic and social sustainability at the farm level?



- How can transitioning towards AE help?:
 - Combining scientific evidence, agriculture-society relationships, improvement of agricultural practices (Wezel *et al.*, 2009)
 - Increasing positive and reducing negative externalities on the environment
 - Developing **context-specific** and more **resilient** agricultural and food systems (Altieri et al., 2017)
 - Addressing complex social, environmental, and farming problems locally
 - Adopting a territorial and biodiversity-based view of agriculture (Wezel et al., 2016)



- Partnerships, cooperation and responsible governance are key to maximise the synergies between natural and human systems
- Transparent, accountable and inclusive governance mechanisms are needed to support the AE redesign (FAO, 2018).
- New models of **participatory** governance are needed:
 - Based on **collaborative** networks
 - Involving **multidisciplinary** actors
 - Fostering collective learning, by spreading different types knowledge and competences (Newig et al., 2010)
- Social Network Analysis: growing research interest for identifying social structures and governance processes, based on network configuration, in terms of its items (nodes, links)



- Identifying and analysing the **governance networks** characteristic of **different transition patterns**
 - 15 case studies across Europe
 - Arable, perennial and livestock farming systems
- Understanding specific rules, regulations and decisionmaking processes linked to the AE transition, vi by
 - Institutional settings where actors interact via negotiations and (non-hierarchical) coordination
 - **Missing actors** for future inclusion in the network
 - Institutional rules and regulations
 - Informal decision-making processes



CASE STUDIES





- **Cohesion** \rightarrow density & connectedness
 - Quicker innovation adoption
 - **Prevents incoming** flows/actors from outside the network
 - 3 network types







- Broker → betweenness centrality & boundary spanner
 - Gatekeeper, multiple flows, intercategory links
- Influent actor → influence (observed) & outdegree centrality
 - Opinion leader, important for AE transition
- Key actor \rightarrow influent & broker

• Actor voices used for final decision about ranking









DATA

- Participatory network mapping:
 - **15** case studies across Europe (arable, perennial, livestock)
 - 79 interviews, 9 workshops → questionnaire structured towards case-specific challenges
 - Consensus network building
 - Perceived **influence score** of network actors
 - Qualitative information to support SNA







• Austria – Ekoregion Kaindorf (humus project) - Arable





• Italy – Chianty Biodistrict (diversification) - Perennial





• Finland – Nivala biogas plant (circular economy) - Dairy





- Different network structures are associated with different governance models
 - **Decentralised** n.: greater stability; core n. items existing for longer; more advanced transition stage towards AE
 - Centralised n.: lower stability; governance structured towards a single actor; relatively recent creation of connections among n. items; transition linked to charismatic actor
 - **Distributed** n.: medium structural stability; n. items are connecting quickly (interest in the innovation); risk of closure; lying the basis for the transition



- Increasing stability is key to develop trusted and longlasting governance models
- Few but influent sources of knowledge and tangible goods, which span multiple relations with different actors and open to newcomers and external inputs
- Involving missing actors (and actor categories, e.g. media, consumers) may promote and speed-up farmlevel adoption and diffusion at the territorial level of agroecology



4th Project Meeting & Stakeholder Workshop Basel, 12-15 November 2019



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement N° 773901.



Contact

Thank you!



oriana.gava@crea.gov.it